



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/672,200 | 09/27/2000 | Gregory L. Slaughter | 5181-57500 | 8325 |
| 58467 | 7590 | 11/01/2007 | | |
| MHKKG/SUN | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 398 | | | TRUONG, LECHI | |
| AUSTIN, TX 78767 | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2194 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/01/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/672,200

Applicant(s)

SLAUGHTER ET AL.

Examiner

LeChi Truong

Art Unit

2194

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 August 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-11,13-15,17,18,20-26,28-31,33-36,51,52,54-57,73-78 and 80 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 33-36,51,52,54-57,75,76 and 80 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,7-11,15,17,18,21-26,28,31,73 and 74 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4-6, 13-14, 20, 29-30, 77, 78 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

WILLIAM THOMSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 2, 4-11, 13-15, 17-18, 20-26, 28-30, 31, 33-36, 51-52, 54-57 and 73-78, 80 are presented for the examination. Claims 3, 12, 16, 19, 27, 32, 37-50, 53, 58-72, 79 are cancelled.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 4 is depended on the undefined claim 77. The claim 77 should be mentioned before claim 4 because the claim 4 is depended on claim 77. Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 15 is depended on the canceled claim 12. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-2, 7-11, 17-18, 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandle et al (U S Pat. 5,218,699) in view of Monday (6,480,860 B1) and further in view of Juster (US. Patent 6,202,089 B1).

As to claim 1, Brandle teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: a method for remotely invoking functions (remote procedure calls) in a distributed computing environment, comprising:

a client (application 100) generating a message (remote procedure call), wherein the message includes information representing a computer programming language (high level language, col. 3, lines 37-39) method call (procedure block 52); the client sending the message to a service (remote router application 118), wherein the service is configured to perform functions on behalf of the client (execute service procedures 126); and the service performing a function on behalf of the client in accordance with the information representing the computer programming language method call included in the message (execute service procedure 170, 172, See col. 7, line 4 - col. 8, line 4; fig. 4-6), Brandle teaches storing the generated results data (results) to a space service (queue 116) in the distributed computing environment; and the client accessing the stored results data from the space service (application retrieves results from the queue, col. 7, lines 33-36, 64-66; col. 10, lines 11-13).

Brandle do not explicitly teach providing an advertisement for the stored data to the client, wherein the advertisement comprises information to enable access by the client to the stored data, the client accessing the stored results data from the space service in accordance with the information in the provided advertisement. However, Monday teaches the advertisement comprises information to enable access by the client to the stored data, the client accessing the stored results data from the space service in accordance with the information in the provided advertisement (a markup language for accessing data in a database. The markup language is preferably defined in extensible markup language (XML) by creating suitable document type

Art Unit: 2194

definition (DTDs0, which define the grammar for accessing data in the database using the markup language, col 1, ln 50-55, As new data types are added to the database, corresponding document type definitions (DTDs) may be dynamically generated, allowing a user to access new kinds of data in a database, col 1, ln 59-64, allow a client to access data in a database, a DTD... When a new data type is added to the database, a DTDs can be statically generated and added to the list of DTDs, col 9, ln 52-62).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Brandle to incorporate the features of the advertisement comprises information to enable access by the client to the stored data, the client accessing the stored results data from the space service in accordance with the information in the provided advertisement because this allows a user to easily access data in database without knowing a specialized database query language.

Brandle and Monday do not teach space service is separate from said client, and wherein said space service is accessible as a service by multiple entities other than said client in the distributed computing environment. However, Juster teaches space service is separate from said client, and wherein said space service is accessible as a service by multiple entities other than said client in the distributed computing environment (MSMQ (Microsoft Message Queue Server environment) implements asynchronous communications by enabling applications to send messages to, and receive messages from, other applications. These applications may be running on the same machine or on separate machines connected by a network. MSMQ messages can contain data in any format that is understood by both the sender and the receiver. When an application receives a request message, it processes the request by reading the contents of the

Art Unit: 2194

message and acting accordingly. If required, the receiving application can send a response message back to the original requester. While in transit between senders and receivers, MSMQ keeps messages in holding areas called queues, col 5, ln 10-27/ client computer to perform remote procedure calls (RPC) to a server process on a message queuing server computer, col 10, ln 18-18).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Brandle and Monday with Juster to incorporate the feature of space service is separate from said client because this provides a place for receivers to look for messages when they are ready and to protect messages from being lost in transit.

As to claim 2, Brandle teaches the service performs the function on behalf of the client asynchronously to processing on the client (asynchronous mode). Col. 9, line 31 -col. 10, line 18.

As to claim 7, Brandle teaches the service comprises one or more computer programming language methods executable within the service (service procedures 126), wherein said performing a function comprises executing a computer programming language method in accordance with the information representing the computer programming language method call included in the message (procedure and parameters). Col. 8, line 57 - col. 9, line 19.

As to claim 8, note discussion of claim 7 and Brandle further teaches the information representing the computer programming language method call includes an identifier of the method call (procedure/call identifier), and wherein said performing a function comprises: regenerating the method call in accordance with the identifier of the method call included in the information representing the method call (extract cal identifier and parameters and invokes, col.

9, lines 1-16); and executing a computer programming language method in accordance with the regenerated method call (execute service procedures 126, step 172).

As to claim 9, Brandle teaches the information representing the computer programming language method call further includes one or more parameter values of the method call (parameter block 58), and providing the one or more parameter values from the information representing as parameter values of the method call (mapper extracts data/parameters). Col. 9, lines 9-16.

As to claim 10, Brandle teaches a service method gate (remote muter application 118, data mapper 120 and service director 122) configured to provide an interface to computer programming language methods of the service by receiving messages (transferred) and invoking methods specified by the messages (steps 166, 168, 170, 172), and wherein said regenerating the method call is performed by the service method gate. Col. 8, line 57 - col. 9, line 19.

As to claim 11, Brandle teaches performing a function generates results data (results), the service providing the generated results data to the client (steps 174 -190).

As to claim 17, note discussions of claim 1 for functions of generate, send and perform and claim 3 for receive. In Brandle, the first two functions are provided in a client node and the last two in a service node. It would have been obvious to implement the client functions by a client device and the service functions by a service device.

As to claims 18, 21, 22, 23-26, they are apparatus claims of claims 2, 4, 5, 6, 7-9, 11; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 2, 4, 5, 6, 7-9, 11 above.

Art Unit: 2194

5. Claims **28, 73-74** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandle et al (U S Pat. 5,218,699) in view of Monday (6,480,860 B1) in view of Juster (US. Patent 6,202,089 B1), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Anderson et al (Professional XML, pages 497-511, 542-543).

As to claims 73-74, Brandle, Monday and Juster do not teach the computer programming language is Java, nor Java method call, Java method implemented on, Java method on. However, Anderson teaches a method for remotely invoking functions in a distributed computing environment (XML-RPC), wherein the computer programming language is Java, and including Java method call (Java client), Java method implemented on the service (Java XML-RPC server, page 511, fig.). See page 508 section XML-RPC to page 511, last para.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teaching of Brandle, Monday, Juster and Anderson because Anderson's the computer programming language is Java, nor Java method call, Java method implemented on, Java method on would improve the teaching of Brandle, Monday and Juster's systems allowing the communications between programs running on disparate operating environments heterogeneous systems.

As to claim 28, it is an apparatus claim of claim 1; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above.

Art Unit: 2194

6. Claims **15, 31** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandle et al (U S Pat. 5,218,699) in view of, in view of Monday (6,480,860 B1) in view of Juster (US. Patent 6,202,089 B1), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Cuomo (U S Pat. 6,185,614).

As to claim 15, Brandle , Moday , Juster do not teach using Uniform Resource Identifiers (URLs) to access data/resources. However, Cuomo teaches using Uniform Resource Identifiers (URLs) to access data/resources (col. 4, lines 4-36).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Brandle, Monday, Juster and Cuomo because Cuomo's teaches using Uniform Resource Identifiers (URLs) to access data/resources would improve the efficiency of Brandle, Monday and Juster's systems by providing the capability of returning dynamically generated results.

As to claims 31, Cuomo teaches using Uniform Resource Identifiers (URLs) to access data/resources (col. 4, lines 4-36).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 33-36, 75, 51-52, 54-57, 76, 80 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2194

8. Claims 4-6, 13-14, 20, 29-30, 77, 78 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272 3767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.


Art Unit: 2194

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomson, William can be reached on (571) 272 3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

LeChi Truong

October 26, 2007


WILLIAM THOMSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER